

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/851,089	OH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura C Cole	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Laura C Cole.

(2) Kenneth D. Springer.

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2003

Time: 11:00am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
all

Claims discussed:
1-15

Prior art documents discussed:
all, especially 6,286,525 to Nishimura et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner spoke to Applicant regarding the term "adjacent" in Claim 1 and suggested different language regarding that term.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

UCC

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)